

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORN	NEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/043,342 01/14/2002		Sophie Helene Vayrette		05	725.1013-00	6740		
			:					
	7590	03/20/2003						
Thomas L. Irving					EXAMINER			
GARRETT &	RSON, FARAE ER, L.L.P.	RAMANA, ANURADHA						
1300 I Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3315						ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
						3732		
					DATE MAIL ED: 03/20/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/043,342	VAYRETTE, SOPHIE HELENE				
Offic Action Sumi	mary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Anu Ramana	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under th after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less t - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended per - Any reply received by the Office later than thr earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR Status	OMMUNICATION. e provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a reply maximum statutory period v iod for reply will, by statute, ee months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS.	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. 8.133)				
. 1) Responsive to communica	tion(s) filed on <u>14</u> J	anuary 2002 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.		is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in closed in accordance with Disposition of Claims	condition for allowa the practice under	ince except for formal matters Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-89</u> is/are pendin	g in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s)	•						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowe							
6) Claim(s) is/are reject							
7) Claim(s) is/are object							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-89</u> are subject to		lection requirement					
Application Papers		.oo.on roquinomon.					
9)☐ The specification is objected	to by the Examiner	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	_ is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)□ objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Applicant may not request tha	at any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correct	ction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawing	gs are required in rep	ly to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is obj	ected to by the Exa	miner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and	120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	one of:						
1. Certified copies of the	priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	e International Bure	ty documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). If the certified copies not rece	_				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the for 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a		• •					
Attachment(s)		_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-992)		5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Acti	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 6				

Application/Control Number: 10/043,342

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: Figure 1

Species II: Figure 2

Species III: Figure 3A

Species IV: Figure 3B

Species V: Figure 3C

Species VI: Figure 3D

Species VII: Figure 4

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 60 are held to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,342

Art Unit: 3732

Page 3

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (703) 306-4035. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:30 am and 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached at (703) 308-2582. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-2708 for regular communications and (703) 308-2708 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

AR Anu Kamerna

March 19, 2003

EDUARDO C. ROBERT
PRIMARY EXAMINER